# RANA AND RANA ASSOCIATES

*PRESENTS*

Media Partner

# 1ST ANNUAL LAW FEST, 2025

## HYBRID MODE

MOOT COURT COMPETITION
JUDGEMENT WRITTING COMPETITION
ARTICLE WRITTING COMPETITION

## ON 16 AND 17TH AUGUST 2025

CONTACT US ON - +91 9218093770

# PRIZE POOL - ₹57000

## ABOUT THE FIRM

Rana and Rana Associates is a premier legal consultancy firm committed to providing comprehensive legal solutions and trusted advisory services across a broad spectrum of legal domains. With a strong foundation built on integrity, professionalism, and client-centric values, we have established ourselves as a reliable name in the legal landscape.

Our firm offers expert legal services in various fields including Arbitration Law, Company Law, RERA-related matters, Family Law, Commercial and Banking Law, Property and Tax Law, Criminal Law, and more. In addition to our core legal services, we also extend strategic guidance in select financial services to support our clients in making informed decisions. We take pride in our dedicated panel of legal professionals who bring specialized expertise from diverse legal backgrounds, ensuring our clients receive well-rounded and effective representation.

Over the years, Rana and Rana Associates has earned the trust of a wide range of esteemed clients including U.P. Jal Nigam (Urban and Rural divisions), Sunrise Associates, Srinivas University, Arogyyyogshala Wellness Pvt. Ltd., and LG (represented by Mr. Gautam, Vice President, Warehouse Department), among many others.

At Rana and Rana Associates, our mission is to deliver timely, practical, and result-oriented legal solutions while maintaining the highest standards of ethics and confidentiality.

## ABOUT THE EVENT

The fest aspires to bring together students, legal practitioners, and scholars in a distinguished celebration of the diverse and evolving discipline of law. It serves as an esteemed platform for scholarly discourse, the presentation of legal research, the cultivation of professional development, and the promotion of a collegial spirit within the legal community.

The principal objective of this fest is to provide a stimulating and academically enriching experience that broadens participants' comprehension of legal principles and contemporary legal challenges. It endeavours to advance legal literacy through thoughtful discussion, encourage academic inquiry by facilitating research presentations, and foster inter-university cultural and intellectual exchange.

This fest stands as a testament to the dynamic nature of legal studies and practice, offering attendees the opportunity to refine their legal knowledge and skillset while engaging with a vibrant and intellectually driven legal fraternity.

Rana and Rana Associates is honoured to curate this initiative and remains committed to ensuring that the event is both meaningful and enriching for all participants. We look forward to celebrating the pursuit of legal excellence with you.

## MEDIA PARTNER

JUS corpus

# JUDGEMENT WRITING COMPETITION

1st RANA AND RANA ASSOCIATES LAW FEST, 2025

## EVENT 3

## JUDGEMENT WRITING COMPETITION

The Judgment Writing Competition is a distinguished event designed to test and enhance the analytical and drafting skills of budding legal minds. This competition offers law students an opportunity to step into the shoes of a judge, critically analyze legal issues, and deliver reasoned and well-structured judgments based on factual scenarios and legal principles.

Participants will be presented with a comprehensive case file, requiring them to assess the facts, apply relevant laws, and craft a coherent and legally sound judgment. The event aims to promote clarity of legal thought, precision in articulation, and the ability to balance justice with judicial reasoning.

This competition not only sharpens participants' understanding of substantive and procedural law but also encourages a deeper appreciation of the judicial decision-making process. It is a platform for aspiring jurists to showcase their ability to interpret and apply the law with fairness, impartiality, and intellectual rigor.

Organized as part of our larger fest, the Judgment Writing Competition reflects our commitment to nurturing future leaders of the legal profession. We invite participants to engage in this intellectually stimulating exercise and demonstrate their aptitude for legal reasoning and judicial writing.

## REGISTRATION FEE

| | |
|---|---|
| Individual Author | Rs.300/- |
| With Co-Author | Rs.500/- |

The registration fee for individual authors is Rs 300/- as well as for co-authorship collectively, is Rs 500/-

vipul rana

**Scan for PAYMENT**

## REGISTRATION LINK -

https://forms.gle/CoFdyapKWU2B28m18

## LINK FOR THE JUDGEMENT WRITING PROBLEM

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1_qqy5TqfuQt9Nfs1XY709BPRpnB1eoEa?usp=sharing

## FOR ANY QUERIES

**Email- contact.ranaassociates@gmail.com**

**Phone number- +919218093770**

# RULES & GUIDELINES: ONLINE JUDGMENT WRITING COMPETITION

## 1. Eligibility

- Open to all students currently enrolled in a recognized law school or university (UG or PG level).
- Open to legal professionals, academicians, and research scholars in the field of law.
- Co-authorship is permitted up to a maximum of two authors.

## 2. Case Problem

- A hypothetical case problem has been provided by the organizers attached with the brochure
- Participants must write a well-reasoned judgment based on the facts, issues, arguments, and applicable laws.
- Deadline for submission: 15th August 2025

## 3. Submission Guidelines

- Word Limit: 1,500 – 3,000 words (excluding footnotes, if any).
- Format: PDF only.
- Font: Times New Roman | Font Size: 12 | Line Spacing: 1.5
- Footnotes (if any): Font Size 10 | Single Line Spacing (Bluebook 21st edition)
- File name should be: ParticipantName_Judgment.docx
- A submission link will be shared via email with all registered participants for submission

## 4. Anonymity and Identification

- The judgment document must not contain any personal identifiers.
- Participants will be assigned a code for evaluation.
- A separate cover page with Name(s), Institution/Organization, Contact Details, and Email ID must be submitted along with the judgment.

## 5. Clarification

- One team shall not ask for more than 5 clarifications.
- The Last date for seeking clarification is 30th July 2025.
- Release of clarification will done on 1st August 2025.

# RULES & GUIDELINES: ONLINE JUDGMENT WRITING COMPETITION

## 6. Evaluation Criteria

- Clarity and Structure of Judgment
- Legal Reasoning and Analysis
- Application of Law and Precedents
- Language, Grammar, and Drafting Style
- Originality and Creativity

## 7. Plagiarism Policy

- Submissions must be original and unpublished.
- A plagiarism limit of 15% is allowed. Violation will lead to disqualification.

## 8. Results & Awards

- Results will be declared on: 31st August
- Certificates of Merit for Top 3 Winners will be delivered through registered post.
- E-certificates of Participation for all eligible participants.
- Winning entries may be published on the organizer's platform or newsletter with due credit.

## 9. General Rules

- Multiple entries from the same participant/team are not allowed.
- The decision of the judging panel will be final and binding.
- The organizing committee reserves the right to modify the rules or disqualify any entry for valid reasons.

## AWARDS

| SI.NO | PRIZE AND AWARD |
|---|---|
| 1 | 1ST POSITION - 3000/- + CERTIFICATE |
| *2* | 2ND POSITION- 2000/- + CERTIFICATE |
| *3* | 3RD POSITION- 1500/- + CERTIFICATE |

# JUDGEMENT WRITING COMPETITION

## JUDGEMENT PROBLEM

# PROSECUTION STORY

In the agricultural belt of Rampura village, District Sikar, a long-standing property dispute turned violent, ultimately resulting in the death of Ratan Singh, aged 52. The deceased and the primary accused, Mangal Singh, both members of an extended family, had been at loggerheads for years over a 10 bigha ancestral agricultural land locally referred to as "Chandmari Wala Khet". This land had remained undivided after the death of the family patriarch, Prem Singh. While Ratan Singh had been cultivating the land exclusively since 2001, Mangal Singh and his family had moved to Laxmangarh and visited only occasionally. In 2020, Ratan Singh applied for mutation in his own name, citing an alleged oral family settlement from 2002.

Mangal Singh objected, alleging fraud and forgery, and the matter escalated when his son Suresh attempted to construct a cattle shed on part of the land in November 2024. This led to Ratan Singh filing a civil suit seeking a declaration of ownership and a permanent injunction. The Court issued a temporary injunction on 23rd January, 2025, restraining Mangal Singh and his family from entering or altering the status of the land. This order was pasted on the disputed property, further aggravating tensions.

Witnesses claim that Ratan Singh, confident in his legal position, often made provocative remarks, including allegedly saying, "The law is with me; no one can step foot on that land now." In response, Mangal Singh was reportedly heard saying during a community meeting, "Let's see who stops us now. This matter won't end in court; it will end on the ground."

On 03.03.2025, the four accused - Mangal Singh (Accused 1), his son Suresh (Accused 2), his nephew Mahavir (Accused 3), and brother-in-law Kishan Lal (Accused 4), returned from Laxmangarh, where they had consulted an advocate to challenge the injunction. Upon spotting Ratan Singh sitting outside his cousin Babulal's house, the four allegedly approached him, and a confrontation ensued.

As per Babulal's (PW-4) statement, Accused 1 struck the deceased with a lathi, Accused 2 followed with a steel rod, Accused 3 slashed his wrist with a sickle, and Accused 4 hit his elbow with a stick. The deceased fell to the ground, after which all four allegedly continued beating him. Nearby shopkeeper Ramesh (PW-5) witnessed the commotion from a distance.

Ratan Singh was taken to the Civil Hospital, Sikar, by his son Tejpal (PW-6). Dr. Deepa Yadav (PW-2) documented eight injuries, three grievous in nature, including fractures and abdominal trauma, and referred him to Jaipur Medical College. At Jaipur, Dr. Arvind Sharma (PW-3) noted that the victim was B+, but was transfused with O+ blood due to an emergency stock shortage. Though initially stable, Ratan Singh collapsed and died at 3:30 AM the next morning.

The post-mortem report cited, "Cause of death: Rupture of hepatic parenchyma (liver tissue) leading to intra-abdominal haemorrhage. Secondary possibility: Hemodynamic instability aggravated by suspected immunologic transfusion reaction. Note: While primary injury is consistent with blunt force trauma, the contribution of transfusion-induced complications cannot be conclusively excluded."

The police arrested the four accused and filed a chargesheet under Section 103(1)/ 3(5) BNS, 2023 whereupon, cognizance was taken by the court and after supplying the necessary documents under Section 230 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the case was committed to the Court of Session for trial.

## DEFENCE PLEA

The defence categorically denies all charges and claims that the accused have been falsely implicated due to ongoing property disputes and simmering familial rivalry. They argue that while a civil suit regarding the 10 bigha agricultural land was indeed filed by Ratan Singh and a temporary injunction was granted in his favour, the accused had no intention, plan, or reason to assault him, let alone murder him.

On the date of the incident, Mangal Singh, Suresh, and Mahavir had travelled to Laxmangarh to consult their legal counsel to file an appeal against the injunction order. On the way back, they may have crossed the vicinity of Ratan Singh's home, but never stopped, confronted, or attacked him. Further, Accused No. 4 was not even present in Rampura on that day. He was at his home in Laxmangarh throughout the evening, watching a cricket match and socialising with neighbours. His alibi is supported by two independent defence witnesses.

The defence highlights the complete lack of credible eyewitnesses. One of the prosecution's own witnesses, Ramesh (PW-5), turned hostile, saying he saw nothing. The other witness, Tejpal (PW-6), was not present during the incident. The defence also focuses on medical negligence at Jaipur Medical College. Dr. Arvind Sharma (PW-3) admitted that the victim's blood group was B+, but O+ blood was administered due to a shortage. The doctor even stated that transfusion-related complications could not be ruled out, and the post-mortem report is ambiguous.

It is noteworthy that the temporary injunction granted by the Civil Court was based on Ratan Singh's long-standing exclusive possession, rather than conclusive ownership. Certified extracts from the Patwari's register, dated 15.02.2025, show that "Chandmari Wala Khet" remained recorded in the names of multiple legal heirs of Prem Singh, including both the deceased and the accused. No final mutation had been sanctioned in Ratan Singh's sole favour at the time of the incident, thereby suggesting that the land dispute was still alive, with both parties having colourable claims over it.

# EVIDENCE BY PROSECUTION

**PW-1: SI Pankaj Meena (Investigating Officer)**

On 03.03.2025, around 7:30 PM, I received a PCR call from Rampura village. Upon reaching the spot, I found bloodstains and a broken stick in front of Babulal's house. Witness Babulal named four individuals: Mangal Singh, Suresh, Mahavir, and Kishan Lal. He said they had attacked the deceased. Ratan had already been shifted to the Civil Hospital. From there, he was referred to Jaipur Medical College. I seized one wooden lathi, one steel rod, and a sickle from the area near the house. All were blood-stained. However, the wooden stick allegedly used by Accused 4 was not recovered. Searches of his home and the surrounding area yielded no results.

I recorded the statements of Babulal (PW-4), Tejpal (PW-6), and Ramesh (PW-5). The post-mortem report I received from Jaipur mentioned a ruptured liver due to blunt trauma, though it added that complications due to transfusion couldn't be ruled out. During the medical examination of Accused 1, a minor swelling and abrasion were found on his forearm, as per MLC No. 45/2025. Based on the medical and eyewitness evidence, I filed the chargesheet under Sections 103(1)/ 3(5) BNS, 2023, against all four accused

**PW-2: Dr. Deepa Yadav (Civil Hospital, Sikar)**

The deceased was brought to the emergency ward on 03.03.2025 around 7:45 PM. He was semi-conscious and bleeding. I examined him and found 8 injuries:

- A fracture in the left wrist
- Swelling and internal injury in the lower abdomen
- Hairline fracture on the right shin
- Additional contusions and abrasions

Three injuries were grievous. His vitals were stable, but due to the risk of internal bleeding, I referred him to Jaipur Medical College. In my medical opinion, the injuries were caused by blunt and sharp weapons. Individually, none of the injuries were fatal, but the internal damage required close monitoring. Injury No. 6 (abrasion on the lower back) appeared to be more than 12 hours old and could not be clearly linked to the incident on 03.03.2025.

**PW-3: Dr. Arvind Sharma (Jaipur Medical College)**

The deceased arrived around 10:30 PM on 03.03.2025. He had already been given fluids. Our blood group test showed B+. Unfortunately, our B+ stock was exhausted. With time being critical, O+ blood was transfused after administering antihistamines. Initially, his vitals stabilised. At around 2:45 AM, his pulse dropped suddenly. Despite emergency intervention, he passed away at 3:30 AM

**PW-4: Babulal (Cousin of deceased)**

On 03.03.2025, around 6:15 PM, Ratan was sitting with me outside my house. We were having tea. Mangal, Suresh, Mahavir, and Kishan Lal came from the street. Mangal yelled, “Court ka sahara le kar hamara haq chheen raha hai?” He suddenly hit Ratan with a lathi on his left thigh. Suresh struck him on the right leg with a rod. Mahavir slashed his wrist with a sickle, and Kishan hit his elbow with a stick. Ratan screamed and fell. Even after he fell, they continued hitting him for a few seconds. When neighbours began gathering, they ran. I called Tejpal immediately. I saw it all with my own eyes. There was no provocation from Ratan’s side. They came prepared to assault.

**PW-5: Ramesh**

I was sitting at my shop across the road. I heard shouting and saw a group of people. It was already getting dark. I cannot say clearly who was involved. The police came and asked me to say I had seen everything. Out of fear, I signed a paper. But truthfully, I didn't see anyone attack anyone. I don't want to get dragged into this matter.

**PW-6: Tejpal (Son of the deceased)**

I received a call from Babulal Chacha around 6:25 PM. I rushed from the grain mandi, about 1 km away. When I reached my father was bleeding, unconscious. We took him to Sikar Civil Hospital and then to Jaipur Medical College. He was alive but in bad shape. He had mentioned many times that the accused were threatening to take over our land. They were angry because of the court's injunction order. I didn't witness the attack, but I am sure these men are responsible for my father's death.

**PW-7: Master Ravi (Child Witness, aged 12, son of PW-4 Babulal)**

On the evening of 03.03.2025, I was playing marbles near the front gate of our house. My Dad, Babulal, and Ratan uncle were sitting outside, drinking tea and talking. Suddenly, I saw four men walking from the corner street. The first one was Mangal uncle, he was shouting angrily and had a big stick in his hand. I got scared. Behind him came Suresh bhaiya, who was holding something shiny like a rod, and another man had a sharp, curved thing. The last man had a wooden stick and a red cloth tied around his head. I was scared and ran back inside. From the window, I saw my papa running and shouting, 'Call Tejpal immediately!'

# EVIDENCE BY DEFENCE

**DW-1: Sanjay Verma (Neighbour of Accused No. 4)**

On the evening of 03.03.2025, I was at Kishan Lal's house in Laxmangarh. We were watching the cricket match on his TV. I arrived around 5:45 PM and stayed till 9 PM. Kishan was with me the entire time, except for about 15 minutes when he said he was stepping out to get some groceries from the nearby kirana shop. He returned by 6:45 PM. I don't believe he could've travelled to Rampura and back in that short a time. His conduct was normal. We even had dinner after the match.

**DW-2: Shanti Lal (Tea Stall Owner in Laxmangarh)**

I remember Kishan Lal came to my stall on the evening of 03.03.2025. He's a daily customer. I think it was around 6 PM, maybe a little before or after. But I can't say the exact time because I didn't check the clock. He didn't stay long. It's possible he came, had tea, and left within 5-10 minutes.

**DW-3: Anita Sharma (Nurse and blood bank assistant)**

I was present in the emergency ward of Jaipur Medical College when Ratan Singh was admitted. The patient's blood group was B+. But our blood bank was out of B+ at that moment. After informing Dr. Sharma, we administered O+ blood. Standard protocol was followed, and we gave antihistamines. I've seen transfusion reactions happen. Whether it contributed to death, I can't say. But O+ is often used as a backup in emergencies.

**DW-4: Omprakash Yadav (Village elder, known to both families)**

I have known both families for decades. The land dispute has been going on for years. Two panchayats were called before. Nothing got resolved. On that day, I was told by Mangal's cousin that they had gone to Laxmangarh to meet their lawyer. I also heard that they passed near Babulal's house while returning, but I don't believe they would've done such a thing openly. The tension was there, but a killing? I don't think so. It looks more like a false implication because of the court case. Also, Babulal had earlier filed an application to be impleaded in the civil suit claiming a $1/5^{th}$ share in the Chandmari land. He later withdrew it.

**Drafted by Adv. Hritvvik V Kapoor**
**(Rana and Rana Associates)**